

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Reynolds
Given Name	Jason
Person ID	1286695
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Reynolds
Given Name	Jason
Person ID	1286695
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Worsley 300 new houses.</p> <p>No new access road, so pollution will increase as ~600 more cars in the the area.</p> <p>Also traffic is also currently at breaking point on Leigh road and with another 300 houses this will cause a massive impact on the area and surrounding areas. This will also cause more pollution in other areas due to the increased traffic.</p> <p>No consideration of new schools/dentist/doctors etc, again in the area there is a struggle to find these services, thus people will have to travel to other areas AGAIN increasing car travel and pollution.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Houses should not be build next to the RHS as the current infrastructure cannot support the current flow of traffic let alone increasing it.</p> <p>Good vehicles and heavy traffic should NOT be using Leigh road as a cut through.</p> <p>Addition doctors/dentists/schools will be required.</p> <p>A massive road infrastructure development will be require to cope with the increased traffic volume</p>
Family Name	Reynolds
Given Name	Jason
Person ID	1286695
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Reynolds
Given Name	Jason
Person ID	1286695
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Worsley 300 new houses.</p> <p>No new access road, so pollution will increase as ~600 more cars in the the area.</p> <p>Also traffic is also currently at breaking point on Leigh road and with another 300 houses this will cause a massive impact on the area and surrounding areas. This will also cause more pollution in other areas due to the increased traffic.</p> <p>No consideration of new schools/dentist/doctors etc, again in the area there is a struggle to find these services, thus people will have to travel to other areas AGAIN increasing car travel and pollution.</p> <p>Also it is taking away precious greenbelt land that have local wildlife including deer roaming within, how can this be right!</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you	Houses should not be build next to the RHS as the current infrastructure cannot support the current flow of traffic let alone increasing it.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Good vehicles and heavy traffic should NOT be using Leigh road as a cut through.</p> <p>Addition doctors/dentists/schools will be required.</p> <p>A massive road infrastructure development will be require to cope with the increased traffic volume</p>
Family Name	Reynolds
Given Name	Jason
Person ID	1286695
Title	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>if anyone had carried out a time and motion study in the area during peak times there is no way these proposal should be even on the table.</p> <p>The current infrastructure can cope with the existing traffic let alone an increase of ~600 cars.</p> <p>just putting in a pedestrian crossing for the RHS on Leigh road caused road havoc in the area, this areas roads are at breaking point as it is.</p> <p>Also the increased volume of cars will just push the queues into other areas cause more pollution in other areas, how can this be right?</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This is nothing that can be done as there i no space for another relief road.